

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/817,018	03/27/2001	Ryuichi Ebinuma	35.G2758	7324	
5514	7590 01/24/2003				
	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			NGUYEN, HUNG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2851		
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>"</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comm	09/817,018	EBINUMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7/ 1/1/1/19	Hung Henry V Nguyen	2851
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 27 <i>March 2001</i>	
0.0	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal ma	ttore proposition as 4- 4b
closed in accordance with the practice u  Disposition of Claims	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the applic	action	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ndrawn nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-46 and 48-51</u> is/are reject	ted	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 47</u> is/are objected to.	.cu.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	
Application Papers	maror orconorriequirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeva	nce See 37 CER 1.85(a)
11) Ine proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	plication No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 LLS C &	
a) Light translation of the foreign language	provisional application has been	on received
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom tachment(s)	resur priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note		mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	a Action Summary	
Onice	- Assort Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/817,018 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group II (claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 32, 33, 50 and 51 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Upon reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement made 10/2/2002 and in view of Applicant's response filed October 29, 2002, the Newly Assigned Examiner has decided it would be more beneficial to the Office, Applicant and Public to examine all claims as originally filed, and avoid the possibility of multiple patents to relatively the same invention. Accordingly, the restriction made October 2, 2002 is hereby vacated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7, 20, 29, 36-37 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claims 7, 20, 46, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 5. As to claims 29, and 36-37 the recitation of "wherein... the first supporting member does not contact the second supporting member in the optical axial direction of the optical element" is ambiguous and not clearly understood.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,018

Art Unit: 2851

#### **Drawings**

6. Figure 11 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-8, 10-21, 23-46, 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art of Fig. 11 in view of Spinali (U.S.Pat. 6,118,599).

With respect to claims 14-20, 24-26, 27-28 and 30-32, AAPA of fig. 11 discloses a supporting structure for supporting an optical element comprising: an optical element (101), a first supporting member (103) for supporting the optical element; a second supporting member (105) for supporting the first supporting element.

With respect to claims 1-8, 10-13, 21, 29 and 34-46, 48-51, AAPA of fig.11 further teaches a retaining ring placed between the first and second supporting member. AAPA of fig.11 does not expressly disclose the first supporting member, the second supporting material and the retaining ring being made of materials as specifically recited in the above mentioned

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/817,018

Art Unit: 2851

claimed. Spinali discloses hybrid optical barrel for supporting optical element where the optical barrel is made of material having characteristic of low coefficient of thermal expansions such as Ultra Low Expansion TM glass, Zerodur glass, silicon carbide or alternatively, Invar (see col.4, lines 5-20). Further Spinali teaches barrel (220), and connecting barrel member (216) having a plurality of spacing members (218) for supporting lenses (208) where the spacing member is made of a material having a coefficient of thermal expansion (<3,0 ppm/K), the barrel (220) is made of brass or stainless steel for the purpose of reducing the overall displacement of the lenses due to the axial displacement of the optical barrel (200) caused by the temperature change. This provides a clear suggestion that it would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of AAPA of Fig.11 and Spinali to obtain the invention as specified in the instant claims. It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to employ the materials as suggested by Spinali to make the first supporting member, the second supporting member and the retaining member displaced between the first and second supporting members of the supporting structure of AAPA of fig.11. The motivation of doing so would have been to minimize the overall displacement of the optical element as suggested and thus improving the quality of the exposure device .

Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 9 and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

Application/Control Number: 09/817,018

Art Unit: 2851

Page 5

and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record either alone or in combination, neither discloses nor make obvious the elastic member with particular structure and specific connections between it and the first supporting member, and the second supporting member as recited.

## Prior Art Made of Record

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ikeda (U.S.Pat. 6,043,863), Bacich (U.S.Pat. 4,733,945), Yamada (U.S.Pat. 4,723,833) and McCrary (U.S.Pat. 6,108,145) discloses lens holding systems, each of which comprises substantially all basic structures as recited in the instant claims.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Henry V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-6462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

ung Henry V Nguyen

Art Unit 2851

hvn

January 17, 2003